App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1935 (Miyzai)

Pasture

File No. 192-35

Serial Nos. 1—6

Letter References.

Myz 494-37 (1-2)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 5

Part B for May 1937.

SUBJECT.

Regarding the old Mosque at Yadatore

To the Mez/Com

1465 of 6 J-37

WD 0118—GPB—22,500—29-8 36

Directs the demolition of the old mosque at Yadatore

G. L. & REV. SECT. 7 FEB. 1936 M. [illegible]

Serial No.

Extract [illegible]

[illegible]

1/15 35-1

× × × × × × ×

8. In this connection a representation has been made by some Hindu gentlemen that the old Mosque (at Krishnarajanagar) should be retained although a new and more expensive one has been built in its place in the New Town at the cost of Government. The Report describes examination &.

Sd/- N. M.
16.1.36

Second Member's orders on the above (para 17) The other points will be referred to the Secrets. concerned and dealt with separately.

Sd/- N. M. 16/1/36

Dchran)

para 18)

Sd/- M. M. I.
16-1-1936.

~~Laid for perusal~~. The above extract will be sent to the M.C for examination and report if appd. A draft is put up for consideration.

For approval
Sd/- 17/1/36

Sd/- 17/1/36

Gen: Secy)
First Member)
16/2 Sd/- [illegible]

WD 0504—GPB—30,000—18-7 35

Home Contn. Sheet. 17 FEB. 1936 5 C 6 61

Relocation of the old Mosque at Yedatore

File No. 8-9-125 of 1935. General Se

2

From DC Kolar dt 25.5.36
[illegible]

2/6

The Deputy Commr's report is submitted for perusal. The D.C Malur is of opinion that it is not possible to allow the continuance of the old Mosque. The H.C has stated that he agrees with the D.C in the above views.

Whether in spite of the protest of the people the old mosque may be ordered to be dismantled is a point for consideration

Dy Secy 27.5.36.

Secy 27/5/36

Gen Secy)

27 MAY 1936
898

The objection does not appear to be based on any injunction of religion but on popular sentiment, Govt having built a new mosque

1486

General and Revenue Secretariat — Revr File No. 19 2 of 193 — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

at heavy cost may be, ~~considered as having~~ ought to have shown consideration to this aspect of the matter. There seems to be no point in leaving about Rs 650 of material to go to waste in a ~~[illegible]~~ building that is not going to be used as mosque any longer. The M C S view may perhaps be accepted.
MO
28/5
dcg

First Member 11

Please see para 5 of D.C. letter of 3-5-36. Have the local Muslims now agreed?

12 — By Please enquire 29/5
MO
29/5
1.
Draft
dcg
29/5/36

16) A draft to the M.C is flashed. —
BJJ
31/5 —
Issue
dcg
31/5/36

4

15/6

NOTE —Contn. Sheet.

WD 03591—GPB—30,000—18-7 35

File No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

[illegible]

1) The M.C has Reported that the Mussalmans of the place objects to the demolition of the old chowltry and that the new Mosque has constructed on the understanding that the old one should be handed over to the PWD.

2) Reference: Member's orders at para 11 of the notes supra.

The old materials of the mosque has been acquired by Govt. as the mosque comes under submersion. (Vide para 3 of letter No. 945/35 dated 8th may 1936 of the Dy. Comr., Mysore Dt). The new mosque was built on the understanding that the old would be handed over. (Vide No. H 141 dated 14th Dec 1934

3) The E.&G. Branch is requested to put up the file containing the G.O. relating to the laying out of the new town of Yedatore (Shivkumarajanagar), as the Assistant secretary has orally ordered that file to be put up

Returned with compts to the Surgeon Gen. The P.W. Secty (or K.R.S. Works Secty) may be kindly consulted.

for 18/11/36.

18.11.36.

re old Mosque at Medina

and Revenue Secretariat

File No. of 193 [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

The M.C. upon that the local Musalmans object to the demolition of the old mosque in this connection reference is invited to the Resolution Statement given by the local Musalmans before the H.J on 5.11.1935 (English Translation of the terms sent by Shaikh Muhammad Ismail Secretary of the old mosque is against their religious principles. In the petition presented by the local Musalmans to the Viceroy on 18th September 1936 no mention is made that the old mosque should not be demolished but it is stated that a new mosque is built and the old mosque is pulled down ..." as such it is to be presumed that they agreed to the old mosque being pulled down. The signatories to the petition however in their Statement signed the Statement recorded by the H.J on 5.11.1935 Submitting this reference to para 11 of the notes.

2
4/11/37

(Gen Hqrs)

GENERAL SECRETARY. 4 JAN. 1937

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 1937 NO 4513

2) Ref. minute in para 11. They still object to the demolition of the old building.

Secretary
4/1/37

See Member 3) May be submitted to Member on his return from leave

Secretary
6/1/37

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417—GPB—30,000—8-9-36

Retention old Mosque

File No. LL2 of 1937 | General Secre

Serial No.

2W) There is no meaning in the objection if the old mosque is likely to be submerged. Please ascertain from P W D Supdt whether it is likely to be submerged or not so when it is likely to be submerged A

25) For favour of furnishing information

Supdt PWD? W.C.

W.A. 12/3

W 12/3/37

W 12/3

2W no. 38-56

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT 2W no. 38-56 13. MAR. 1937 MUZRAI BRANCH

14/3

Notes TOD 18-3-

Forwarded, with compliments, to the Assistant Secretary Krishnaraj Sagar Works, for favour of disposal.

No=583 18/3/37

K. Lakshmanarao
For Chief Engineer of Mysore.

J.R 18th

18/3

C.E.-3

19.3.37

Mosque at Mettupalayam
437

and Revenue Department — Mettupalayam File No. — of 193 — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

No. W.O. 35 — 4/37

I.C.S.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
29 APR. 1937
No. 4723

ICE
29 APR 1937
No.

2) Returned with compliments.

2. The old mosque does not get submerged at 124' contour of Krishnaraj Sagar Reservoir as the ground levels around it range from 124.59' to 128.21. A site plan showing the position of the mosque & the 124' contour level is [illegible] with the file. Though the inconvenience of water does no doubt exist, but it will be for a short period. 5/4/37

5/4/37

GENERAL SECRETARY
7 APR. 1937

(Genl Secy) Ref: Para 24.

First member) 7/4/37

The water will come very close to the building & it is neither safe nor advisable to maintain it. The M C's proposal may be approved as this was ordered long ago & a new mosque has been built.

7072
27/4.

27/4

Dewan) 29-4-37

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417--GPB—80,000—8-9-6

File No. 192 of 193 5

~~General an~~ Secreta

Serial No.

Lubo with a draft reply with
M.e

2nd
2
3/17



14/5

14/5

FG—1]

CT .....

.....

. ...

Section Revenue

File No. 192/33

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to ....

No. 1040

To

The M.C in Mylapore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Sir,

I am directed to state that a representation has been made to Government by Rao Bahadur gentleman of Kushmarajo nagar that the old Mosque at Blacc should be retained although a new and more expensive one has been built in its ~~Surrounding~~ Blacc in the New ~~reference to above~~ town, at the cost of Government and that I to request you to be so good as to examine the Register and submit a Report for the Govt-

Date of issue 20-2-36

Signed by H. B. MacPherson

List of enclosures .....

Copied by . ...

Examined by..... . ...

WD 01956—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

[illegible] action taken by you in the matter for the [illegible]

[illegible]

I have etc

[illegible]

[illegible] 7/24/36 [illegible]

[illegible]

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat //

Dated, Bangalore, 19th February 193 6

No. 1040/Muz 192-35-2

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to state that a representation has been made to Government by some Hindu gentlemen of Krishnarajanagar that the old mosque at the place should be retained although a new and more expensive one has been built in its place in the New Town at the cost of Government and to request you to be so good as to examine this request and submit a report for orders of Government.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For General Secretary to Government,

192-35-3 Muz ~~1930-35-3~~

60
35-6
Rec. 18/5/36

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

12

Bangalore,... 21st May... *1936.*

25



FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

To

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

SIR,

Retention of the old mosque at Kadatore.

With reference to your letter No.1040/Muz.192-35-2 dated 19/22-3-1936 on the above subject, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No.A.945/35 dated 8-5-1936 received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, and to state that for reasons stated therein, I agree with the Deputy Commissioner in the view that it is not feasible to allow the continuance of the old mosque.

The connected records are enclosed for reference and return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. N Ananthan

Assistant Commissioner
For Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from Headquarters)

945/35 dated 8-5-36 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

13

Retention of the Mosque at Old Yedatore.

With reference to your Memo No.H.C.60/35-36 dated 25th February 1936 calling for a report on the representation to Government by certain Hindu gentlemen of Krishnarajnagar for the retention of the mosque at Old Yedatore Town, I have the honor to state that on account of the submersion under water due to Krishnarajsagar project, an estimate for R. 13,850 had been sanctioned for the construction of the new mosque at Krishnarajnagar Town, the entire cost being met from the Krishnarajsagara budget (vide Krishnarajsagar Secretary's No.163/KSS.9000 dated 14th August 1929--copy received with your Memo No.1205/24-25 dated 23rd August 1929).

2. The Executive Engineer, Dam Construction and No.1 Canal Division, Krishnaraj Sagara, requested in his letter No.1008 dated 2nd December 1932, that arrangements might be made to hand over the old mosque at Yedatore to the charge of the Public Works Department so that action might be taken to dispose of the materials since the new mosque had been completed.

3. As the local Mussalmans were not for dismantling the old mosque, the Sub Division Officer, Mysore, reported on 22nd November 1934 that the old mosque was ascertained to come under submersion, that while constructing the new mosque, credit had been taken in the estimate for Rs 650 on account of the materials of the old mosque, and that in these circumstances it did not appear feasible to allow the continuance of the old mosque.

4. The Sub Division Officer was asked to explain the position to the local Mussalmans and to inform them that they should use the new mosque building constructed by Government at a heavy cost for their convenience, and that their protest against the handing over of the old building to the charge of the Public Works Department was not tenable.

5. The local Mussalmans having again given a statement before the Revenue Inspector on 5th November 1935 to the effect that the dismantling of the old mosque was against their religious principles, the Sub Division Officer, Mysore, reported the matter to this office on 10th December 1935. He was again asked to advise them that they should not object to the removal of the materials of the old mosque by the Public Works Department. Probably, it is against this direction that a representation from some of the Hindu gentlemen of the place also has been made to Government.

6. The connected papers are submitted herewith.

"COPY"

M. N. Ananthan

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Section Vres

File No. 192-35

Serial No. 4

No. 1685

To

The D.C in Eldoret

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. N.C 60/35.6 dated 25.5.36 regarding the ~~Selection~~ dismantling of the old Mosque at Yedaton I am directed to invite reference to para 5 of letter No. N.C 945/31 dated 8.5.1936 from the D.C Eldoret addressed to you in the matter and to enquire whether the local Mirsal would have since agreed to the ~~Sale~~ Consultation of the materials of the old Mosque by the P.W.D.

I have etc

for Secretary

31/5/36

For Land Loc.

31/5

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

tne 193

Date of issue .... 5-6-36 .....

Signed by Mr D.C.S

Z

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 01956—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 1685/Muz 192 Dated, Bangalore, 3rd June 1936

From

General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No. M.C. 60/35-36, -- dated 25th May 1936 regarding the dismantling of the old -- mosque at Yedatore, I am directed to invite reference to para 5 of letter No. H.C. 945/35 dated 8th May 1936 from the -- Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District addressed to you in the matter and to enquire whether the local Musalmans have since agreed to the removal of the materials of the old mosque by the Public Works Department.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

General Secretary to Government.

TRM.3

35.6 Item file 41

MUZRAI BR

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE, 16

Bangalore,...3rd..November 193 6

Muz 192-31-5

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

To

M....Venkatesa..Iyengar,. Esq.,..M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
.....General .... . *Department.*

GENERAL SECRETARY. 4 NOV. 1936

SIR,

Retention of the Old Mosque at Yedatore.

With reference to your letter No.1685/Muz.193-35-4 dated 3/5-6-'36 calling for further information on the above subject, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No.M.945/35 dated 20-10-1936 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, stating that the Mussalmans of the place object to the demolition of the old choultry, and to state that the New Mosque was constructed on the understanding that the old one should be handed over to the P.W.D.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K V Anantharaman

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.H.945/35 dated 20-10-36 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Re. the handing over to the P.W.D. of the old mosque in Old Yedatore Town

---

With reference to your Memo No.H.C.60/35 dated 24th February 1936, re. the above, I have the honor to enclose the connected file of papers received from the Sub Division Officer, Mysore, with his No.R2-679/35-36 dated 30th September 1936 and to state that it will be seen therefrom, that the Mussalman of Krishnarajnagar object to the demolition of the building on account of its antiquity. New mosque in Krishnarajnagar Town was constructed on the understanding that the old mosque should be handed over to the D.P.W.

"COPY"

M. N Ananthan

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Section Mcg

File No. 192-38

Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to ..... No. 1465 Secret one file and one plan

To The M.C in Ukkone

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. He 601/35-36 dated 3.11.1936 regarding the ~~retention of the~~ old Mosque at Yedatore I am directed to state that as the water of the Cauvery River has come very close to the building it is neither safe nor advisable to maintain it as requested by the petitioners. Necessary action may therefore be taken to have the building demolished, as ~~a~~ new mosque has been ~~proposed~~ built since. ~~A suitable condemnation~~ was built on the understanding that the old mosque would be handed over to the petitioners for demolition.

Date of issue 6-5-37

Safdar Hussain

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

The corrected papers received
with your letter No. H.C.60 dated 21st May 1936
are returned herewith.

Thanks

2/3/37.

For Genl Secy

BL 3/5

1466/Mcs/P 6-5-37

Copy of the above is forwarded
W.C to the Chief Engineer
for his kind reference with
note No 543 dated 6-3-37

By D.

2/3/37

For Genl Secy

BL
3/5